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**1. Introduction**

This document outlines **best practices** for implementing synergy within our AI-driven 510(k) analysis system. As of **December 24, 2024**, these guidelines reflect lessons learned and practical steps to ensure **accurate synergy detection** and **consistent scoring**.

**2. Synergy Criteria**

1. **Advisory Committee (AC) Match**
   * Synergy is triggered if **AC=OR (Orthopedic)** or **AC=NE (Neurology)**.
   * Other Advisory Committees (e.g., CV, RA, DE, etc.) do **not** initiate synergy under current rules.
2. **Keyword (KW) Match**
   * Synergy requires at least one KW from the **high-value** set:
     + {Bone, Spinal, Fusion, Implant, Syndesmosis, Ankle, Knee, etc.}
   * Additional synonyms are evaluated in future expansions (e.g., “Humeral,” “Femoral,” etc.).
3. **Synergy Value**
   * If both AC and KW criteria are met, **+0.15** is added to the overall sum before final division by 6.
   * This synergy factor remains uniform across all triggered cases.

**3. Best Practices**

1. **Accurate Keyword Identification**
   * Ensure that the device name, label, or summary explicitly includes the synergy keywords (e.g., “Bone,” “Ankle,” etc.).
   * Maintain a **master keyword list** to avoid missing synonyms. For example, “Spine” should be recognized as “Spinal.”
2. **Check for Advisory Committee Consistency**
   * Confirm that the FDA listing or device classification supports **AC=OR or NE**.
   * Avoid assigning synergy if the actual AC is Radiology (RA) or Cardiovascular (CV), etc.
3. **Reference Additional Clues**
   * In borderline cases (e.g., uncertain if “foot” implies “bone”), consider context or device classification to determine if synergy is truly indicated.
   * Use disclaimers or clinical references to confirm the device interacts with bone/tissue.
4. **Use Short, Clear Notes**
   * Within the single-line Markdown row, specify the synergy reason (e.g., “Yes (OR+Bone => +0.15)”).
   * Keep synergy commentary concise to avoid mid-cell line breaks.
5. **Validate Negative Factor vs. Synergy**
   * Even if synergy triggers (+0.15), a device might simultaneously get a **−2** penalty for being purely cosmetic, or **−0.20** for purely diagnostic software.
   * Carefully **apply synergy last** in the summation to ensure the final calculation remains consistent.
6. **Document Changes & Exceptions**
   * If new bone-related terms are added (e.g., “Humeral,” “Femoral”), update the synergy keyword list.
   * Keep an internal log of synergy exceptions or borderline cases for auditing.

**4. Sample Calculation Flow**

1. **Sum** the standard columns: AC + PC + KW + ST + PT + GL.
2. **Subtract** negative factor (NF), if any.
3. **Add** synergy (+0.15) only if AC=OR or NE and KW is in the high-value set.
4. **Divide** total by 6 to get the final score.
5. Multiply by 100 to express as a percentage, then assign a category (e.g., High, Low).

**5. Ongoing Improvements**

* We are evaluating **tiered synergy** (+0.10 vs. +0.15) if multiple relevant keywords appear.
* Additional bone-related synonyms (e.g., “Tibiotalar,” “Elbow”) may be added after thorough clinical review.
* Negative factor synergy interplay remains under discussion (e.g., if a device meets synergy criteria but is also partially cosmetic).

**6. Conclusion**

Following these **best practices** ensures synergy is implemented with clarity and consistency, maintaining both **transparency** for end users and **alignment** with actual FDA considerations. By carefully verifying Advisory Committee data, identifying high-value keywords, and documenting exceptions, we achieve **reliable synergy scoring** across the 510(k) submission landscape.

**For Additional Clarifications**  
Contact the **Regulatory AI Steering Committee** for updates on synergy expansions or queries about borderline device categories.
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